Application No.: 10/511246 Amendment Dated: December 29, 2006

Reply to Office action of: December 4, 2006

<u>REMARKS</u>

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given

the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the

Office action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe

the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant solely traverses the Examiner's failure to mention Fig. 1 within the

species restriction made in the Office action. Applicant thanks the Examiner for

discussing this matter with Applicant on the telephone and for the Examiner

indicating that with an election made to the species of Fig. 2 (Species I), claims

directed to this species, or generic claims, may include features shown in Fig. 1.

Applicant hereby elects Species I as defined by Examiner, while noting the

Examiner's comments summarized in the preceding paragraph. Applicant has

cancelled claims 1-17 and has added new claims 18-24. Consideration of new

claims 18-24 is respectfully requested. Claims 18, 19 and 22 are generic, claims 20

and 23 read on Species I, and claims 21 and 24 are directed to a non-elected

species.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please

charge same to our Deposit Account No. 18-0160, our Order No. FRR-15710.

Respectfully submitted,

RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK LLP

By /James A. Balazs/

James A. Balazs, Reg. No. 47401

4080 Erie Street Willoughby, Ohio 44094-7836

(216) 566-9700

Page 5 of 5